1	Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz, Esq., Nevada SBN 7171 Christopher M. Hunter, Esq., Nevada SBN 8127		
2	McCarthy & Holthus, LLP 9510 W. Sahara, Suite 110		
3	Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone (702) 685-0329		
4	Fax (866) 339-5691 KHintz@mccarthyholthus.com		
5	THE CHICAL MY HOLINGS COM		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	LENWORTH HASTINGS,)	Case No.: 2:11-cv-00762-PMP-RJJ	
11	LEIWORTH III STINOS,	Case 110 2.11-ev-00/02-1 1411 -133	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	vs.) CAL WESTERN RECONVEYANCE CORP.;)	ORDER GRANTING	
14	CHASE BANK (& JP MORGAN CHASE);) MARIX SERVICING COMPANY,)	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY JP MORGAN CHASE BANK,	
15	,)	N.A. AND MARIX SERVICING, LLC	
16	Defendants)		
17	Defendants ID Margan Chase Bank N	A incorrectly designated as Chase Book (& ID	
18	Defendants, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., incorrectly designated as Chase Bank (& JP		
19	Morgan Chase) ("Chase") and Marix Servicing, LLC, incorrectly designated as Marix Servicing		
20	Company ("Marix" and collectively "Defendants"), by and through its counsel of record,		
21	Christopher M. Hunter, Esq., of McCarthy & Holthus, LLP, filed a Motion for Summary		
22	Judgment ("Motion") pursuant to FRCP 56 on June 13, 2011 (Docket No. 6). The Docket		
23	Report indicates that a Response to the Motion was due by July 7, 2011. No Response has been		
	filed.		
24	The Court having considered the moving papers, its own files, and good cause appearing,		
25	rules as follows:		
26	1. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(b), any Response and/or Opposition to Defendants		
27	Motion for Summary Judgment was required	to be filed with the Court and served within	

28

Case 2:11-cv-00762-PMP-RJJ Document 12 Filed 07/11/11 Page 2 of 2

1	fourteen days after service of the motion. No Response and/or Opposition has been filed by the	
2	Plaintiff regarding this matter. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), the failure of an opposing party t	
3	file Points and Authorities in response to any Motion shall constitute consent to the granting o	
4	the motion.	
5	2. The Court does not grant summary judgment solely on the basis of the local rule	
6	violation. However, the Court may grant the motion on any basis supported by the record.	
7	Henry v. Gill Industries, Inc., 983 F. 2d 943 (9th Cir. 1993); United States v. Washington, 969	
8	F.2d 752 (9 th Cir. 1992). The Court has considered the record based upon the Motion for	
9	Summary Judgment and the documentation and evidence which is provided in the Motion and	
10	finds that the record supports the granting of the Motion.	
11	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that based on the foregoing, Defendant's Motion for	
12	Summary Judgment is GRANTED and Defendants, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Marix	
13	Serivicng,, LLC, are hereby dismissed with prejudice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the lis pendens affecting the property located at 3994 Windansea St., Las Vegas, Nevada (APN 163-17-810-015) and recorded by Plaintiff on April 13, 2011 in the public records of Clark County, Nevada as instrument number 201104130002726 is hereby expunged and shall have no further force or effect.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED this _ 11th day of July 2011.	
20	They M. Thr	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22	Respectfully submitted,	
23	McCarthy & Holthus	
24	By: /s/Christopher M. Hunter Christopher M. Hunter	
25		
26		